

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/705,646	11/10/2003	Alan Stuart	CDC.P.US0002	6144
7590 02/25/2004			EXAMINER	
Arthur M. Regineilli			CAMERON, ERMA C	
Renner, Kenner, Greive, Bobak, Taylor & Weber Fourth Floor First National Tower			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1762	
Akron, OH 44	1308-1456		DATE MAILED: 02/25/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			//4
• •	Application No.	Applicant(s)	(-(
	10/705,646	STUART, ALAN	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Erma Cameron	1762	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover she	et with the correspondence address	:
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, m ply within the statutory minimum of d will apply and will expire SIX (6) te, cause the application to becore	ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate the communicate of this communicate (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under	•		ts is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin			•
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	,	·	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		•	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received nts have been received ority documents have b au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	in Application No een received in this National Stage	э
)			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper 3) 5) Notice	iew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/705,646

Art Unit: 1762

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 1-3 and 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a method where the solubility parameter difference between the polymer and the solvent is no greater than 1.8, does not reasonably provide enablement for any solubility parameter difference. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

See page 4, lines 10-21: matching refers to a solubility parameter no greater than 1.8

Double Patenting

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Application/Control Number: 10/705,646

Art Unit: 1762

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 1-6 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 21-22 of U. S. Patent No. 6,669,991B2 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: '991 teaches that the solubility parameter of the treated vinyl article is 9.4-9.8 whereas the solubility parameter of the solvent is 8.0-10.6. The difference in solubility parameters is no greater than 1.8, which is what applicant is claiming in 10/705646.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/705,646

Art Unit: 1762

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Erma Cameron whose telephone number is 571-272-1416. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-6:00, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shrive Beck can be reached on 571-272-1415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ERMA CAMERON
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Erma Cameron Primary Examiner Art Unit 1762

February 18, 2004